

Identity

£1.95

February 2004

Issue 41

This Month:



Public Transport

*"If Winter comes,
can Spring be far
behind?"*



**The Colonisation
Of Britain**



February's News

■ Half of Whites Would Not Want Immigrants Next Door

A MORI poll, published 22nd January, reveals that nearly half of those interviewed would not like to live next door to immigrants, but preferred to live in an area where people are from the same ethnic background as themselves. It would be interesting to see if this view is also shared by people interviewed of non-European descent. It also revealed that immigration/asylum is now the third most important issue facing Britain today. Only the NHS and education are seen as more pressing.

Such is the brainwashing of the British public that it should be borne in mind that many interviewees would not be prepared to give their true views on immigration issues, lest they should be thought 'racist'.

In the introduction to the poll results it says that the increasing diversity of our population has been held up as a possible cause of the decline in levels of trust in each other. Research from America in particular has shown that those living in highly ethnically diverse areas tend to have lower levels of trust.

When BBC Radio 4 interviewed Barbra Roche, Labour's former Immigration Minister, over the MORI poll results, she called for increased immigration into Britain.

■ Lord Of The Rings star under attack

John Rhys-Davis, Welsh-born star of Lord Of The Rings has come under attack for his courageous comments: "There is a demographic catastrophe happening in Europe that nobody wants to talk about ..." See Nick Griffin's column in the January issue of *Identity*. The 6ft 2in actor, who played the 4ft 2in dwarf Gimli on his knees, says he is aware that his beliefs could end his career.

Apart from being attacked by his home-town MP, Adam Price of Plaid Cymru (another phoney 'nationalist') in historical simpleton's language, Rhys-Davis has brought forth the

usual hysterical abuse from the Muslims in Wales.

Mohammed Javed, chairman of the Muslim Society for Wales, said: "We want an apology. This could stir up racial hatred in society. It's ignorance, he should learn more about Islam and the religions before he makes these comments. They are based on his ignorance and nothing else."

Chief executive of the All Wales Ethnic Minority Association, Naz Malik agreed. He said: "I do not know why he has said these things. If 50 per cent of the people being born in Holland are Muslims, so what?"

■ Child Porn Councillor Banned

A Tory councillor found guilty of downloading and storing indecent images of children on his council computer has been disqualified from standing for office for five years. The local authority standards watchdog, the Standards Board for England, found Christopher Pilkington guilty of breaching the councillors code of conduct and bringing the authority into disrepute.

■ Labour Party donor laundered millions

A British-based businessman and Labour party donor has been convicted in Switzerland of laundering tens of millions of dollars in bribes for General Sani Abacha, the late Nigerian dictator. The Israeli-born businessman, Uri David, was connected with the paying of the bribes by several international companies, including Ferrostaal of Germany and India's largest conglomerate company, Tata.

Mr David is a member of the United Jewish Israeli Appeal, of which Lord Levy, Labour's chief fundraiser, is one of three honorary presidents.

■ Votes, is what it's all about

Hundreds of asylum scroungers and illegal immigrants most of whom

cannot speak English, let alone read it - are cheating their way onto the electoral register and officials turn a blind eye to the fraud for fear of being accused of racism. Registration is as simple as filling in and signing a form circulated annually by councils who rarely check that the signatory is telling the truth.

MPs are concerned that in areas with a large ethnic population; the motivation may be to illegally secure a vote and even swing the result of an election, which could happen in several seats the BNP is contesting. Tory party chairman Liam Fox said that it is clear our system is open to electoral fraud. It enables people who are here illegally to vote and help choose a government whose policies could affect their position in the UK. Do you think Tony Blair does not know that?

■ Dutch race policy 'a 30-year failure'

For years Holland was seen as a glowing example of multi-ethnic tolerance, making huge efforts to make immigrants feel at home. Now, an all-party report by the Dutch parliament says that its 30-year experiment in trying to create a tolerant, multicultural society has failed and led to ethnic ghettos and sink schools.

Between 70 and 80 per cent of Dutch-born members of immigrant families import their spouse from their 'home' country, mostly Turkey or Morocco, perpetuating a fast-growing Muslim subculture in large cities.

Identity

Editor: John Bean

February 2004

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscription telephone:

0870 75 73 267

Articles may be submitted for publication to the email address below in

typed/electronic format

PO Box 97, Newmarket,

Suffolk, CB8 8WT

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

Migrants' Drain on the Economy

On page seven of this issue BNP Press Officer, Dr Phil Edwards, points out that he exposed the myth that migrants give a boost to our economy in an article in *Identity* nearly two years ago long before the *Mail* and the *Telegraph* woke up to this New Labour con job. Here are some further facts, many of them from the Migrationwatch UK organisation, which further expose the Government's bent statistics.

Primarily, the Home Secretary has based his claim on a dodgy dossier (no, not the one on Iraq's mythical weapons of mass destruction) that fails to include the bills for health care, education, housing and benefits for migrants. These costs apply to 170,000 new migrants mainly 'asylum seekers' - every year. As an average family of asylum seekers is calculated to cost the taxpayer £16,000 in benefits alone, that is at least £1 billion dropped out of the Government's phoney figures. Incidentally, the £16,000 collected by the average asylum seeker family is a tax-free income that a taxpaying worker would have to earn £20,500 to match! No mention was made either of the £3.4billion that migrants send back to their countries of origin each year. The Government's dodgy dossier also fails to take into account the extra housing benefits to pay for accommodation, predominantly in the expensive South East, and it does not count the cost of one million-plus new homes that will be needed over the next two decades to house migrants. Then there is also the cost of providing transport for commuters, likely to grow by up to 20 per cent as a result of the additional population in London.

An important point, which is raised by Phil Edwards in his report, is that the Home Office dossier recognises immigrants as anyone born abroad, a system that puts the contribution of high earning American and European financiers, for example, alongside that of impoverished recent arrivals.

In working out the debit or credit contribution made by migrants we could also take into account these comments made by a VAT officer dealing with tax fraud in a letter to the *Daily Mail* of January 9th:

"I am sick of dealing with one immigrant business after another where declared profits are £6,000 and an entire family lives on £2,000 a year. Added to this is Family Income Support and Housing Benefit.

"The usual trick is to rent the house of a cousin who in turn rents yours to claim benefit. Cars will be bought for cash and restaurants typically suppress between 50 and 85 per cent of the VAT. Where does the money go? Some is sent 'back home', but most is laundered through the local community."

Finally, what about the cost of drugs-related crime

and the destruction of our society, to which immigrants have made a significant contribution?

In view of the above evidence to the contrary, if Blunkett continues to insist that immigrants 'boost' our economy then he is committing political suicide. And, as Churchill once said, the only problem with that is you live to regret it!



Where are Freedom and Democracy for the Motorist?

The BNP is in danger of being perceived as a two-issue party: stop immigration and get Britain out of the EU. Important as they are, another key policy issue is: "A massive expansion of freedom and democracy to roll back the erosion of our traditional freedoms." Nowhere is this more apposite than in the ever-increasing legislative onslaught on the motorist, Gordon Brown's milch cow.

Motorists' worst suspicions that the 5,000 speed cameras around the country are primarily intended to raise money for police funds are now confirmed. Motorists do not object to speed cameras at accident black spots, but the majority are now being installed on non-accident areas, often-straight runs coming out of a built-up area where it is only too easy to accelerate above the limit. It's money for old rope, or to be more precise, to the 'Old Bill'.

Now, Home Secretary David Blunkett has come up with a scheme to add an extra £5 to all speeding fines. The money raised will be used to compensate crime victims. The idea of compensating someone who has been raped in Clapham because you have been booked for driving at 35 mph in Sevenoaks is ludicrous. To class speeding offenders in the same category as muggers, rapists and other perpetrators of violent crimes is plain insulting.

John Bean
Editor



TIME TO KEEP OUR EYES ON THE BALL

By Party Chairman Nick Griffin

"No, no! This 'fascist' business just doesn't work any more."

One of our activists recently attended a public meeting called by the far-left to oppose us in a local council by-election, and overheard the guest speaker giving this advice to the organisers. They had just proudly shown their main smear leaflet to him, only for the man from the London office of the anti-British hate sheet *Searchlight* to tell them that its concentration on the politics of 1930s Spain wouldn't have the slightest impact on British electors in 2004.

Meanwhile, at the business end of the campaign to destroy our nation, the upper echelons of New Labour have also been worrying about the rise of the British National Party, and trying to work out what to do about it. Certain decisions have already been taken and acted upon. In January, for example, it was announced that the North West of England would be one of the areas in which traditional polling booths would be replaced by a 100% postal vote.

The Electoral Commission the quango responsible for planning changes supposed to make our political system more democratic had already said that the North West was not a suitable area for such an experiment, owing to official inexperience in dealing with postal ballots, and the high level of postal vote fraud in towns such as Oldham.

FIXATED

New Labour, however, fixated with the belief that "high turnouts stop the BNP", rode roughshod over the experts and announced the change to postal ballots. Government spokesmen made no bones about the fact that the reason for this was the 'threat' of the BNP winning seats. Whether they are actually right about the effect of high turnouts is another

matter. There is some evidence to suggest that the whole theory is based on the example of Millwall in 1993, where Derek Beacock won his seat in a by-election and then lost it in the full election which followed on a much higher turnout. The flaw in the statistics, however, is that 700 of the votes which made up the higher turnout were real votes for hard-working BNP councillor Derek Beacock, while most of the 1,000 extra Labour votes were the result of massive electoral fraud.

This was made up of two main elements. First, there was the packing of the electoral register with hundreds of immigrants and foreign students. Houses where only one or two voters were registered in the first election suddenly acquired five or six extra electors in time for the one at which the BNP lost.

LABOUR FRAUD

Second, so much damage had been done to the local British National Party organisation by lies, threats and violence directed at key activists by the State-sponsored 'extremist' pseudo-gang Combat 18, that the BNP presence at the count was too weak to spot and prevent vote counting 'errors' which turned a large number of our votes into support for Labour. The trick is simplicity itself if the tellers involved are not being closely scrutinised by BNP activists breathing down their necks: Part way through any count, each party's votes are counted into bundles of fifty. In the kind of error which anyone can make and explain away with apologies if spotted - 49 BNP ballot papers have one Labour ballot put on top of them. Hey presto! Another fifty Labour votes, and a difference in the final count of 99 votes in Labour's favour and we know for sure that more than one bundle was involved.

Of course, the tiny handful of Labour party officials who pulled that scam could hardly go bragging about it, so the BNP's defeat has gone down in the mythology of the liberal-left as proof that "high turnouts hurt the BNP" and, by extrapolation, so do postal ballots. The fact that a postal ballot in Stoke, despite being seriously fiddled by tricks pulled by the Deputy Prime Minister's office, produced one of the most 'shockingly high' BNP votes yet appears to have passed by unnoticed.

But, in truth, the question of whether the shift to postal voting will harm or benefit any particular party is irrelevant. What is far more important is that we now have a Government so corrupt as to base its decisions on new electoral systems on the 'need' to disadvantage a perfectly legal political opponent, and so arrogant as to tell the whole world what it is doing and why.

Unfortunately, this same corrupt and totalitarian Government is also served by the most efficient private opinion polling system ever established in a nominal democracy. The architect of New Labour's power, Bryan Gould, bases his election strategies on the findings of a nationwide network of 2,000 focus groups, each involving a carefully selected group of typical voters.

It was this private polling network





CONFRONTATIONAL:
The kind of image the public won't vote for

that allowed Gould to warn Blair and others at a special weekend seminar early last year that Labour risked "losing the entire white working class vote to the BNP".

Now, since even the talented amateurs at *Searchlight* have been able to work out that the old "fascist" nonsense is now seriously past its sell-by date, we can be absolutely sure that Mr Gould's 2,000 focus groups have already told New Labour that squawking 'fascists' doesn't work any more. And also that they will have told them what might work or at least stand the best chance of working.

Actually, every BNP activist who did a couple of sessions canvassing back last Spring knows the answer, because the members of the public we talked to then have exactly the same opinions, prejudices and concerns as the people in the focus groups. That *Searchlight* bigwig was quite right. A few years ago, the 'fascist' tag was a major worry, but not any more. Not because the public are now becoming sympathetic to, or even tolerant of, fascism, but because all that is now so long ago, and we've worked hard to make it clearly inapplicable to us anyway.

No-one ever raises these words as an objection or concern on the doorstep any more. What does come up, however, time and time again, is the phrase "I agree with a lot of what you say, but I don't like the way you go about it." The problem is no longer the perception of our ideology - not least because we have put a great

deal of effort over the last few years into criticising the status quo not for being democratic (the fascist critique) but for not being democratic enough (a modernist/populist critique). The problem, rather, is the lingering image of thuggery.

Of course, you and I know that much of that image was never any more than enemy media propaganda, and that even where the party or some individuals within it were involved in things which made such smears credible, both our organisation and those individuals (if they are still with us) have changed genuinely and left such foolishness in the past where it belongs. And, as we have seen in so many elections over the last year or so, even this smear is wearing thin.

But even an increasingly ineffective smear is better than one which doesn't work at all, and so we must expect our opponents to play the 'thuggery' card against us for all they are worth.

To one Matthew Collins, it's going to be worth many thousands of pounds. Collins was a young and excitable hanger-on in nationalist circles in South London in the early 1990s. Despite his claims to have been an official in the BNP, the truth is that he was never even a member of the party. He did attend a number of activities, hung around the BNP's then administrative centre, and was the first through the door and one of the most violent in an attack on a far-left group meeting in Welling library to discuss and plan their violent campaign against premises used at the time as a BNP bookshop.

BEER MONEY

When he decided that he could earn more beer money and have more excitement working as a 'mole' for *Searchlight*, and later selling his story to the mass media, Collins suddenly discovered an aversion to street scuffles and 'racism' and switched sides. He later vanished, apparently moving to Australia.

Now, however, he's back. A BBC3 Everyman programme will shortly regale a tiny audience with 'Matthew's Story', which will in turn be picked up by more important media outlets. His tales of BNP thuggery (which will gloss over the

fact that the vast majority of our present day members and even leadership were not even involved in the party at the time when he was on its fringes) will no doubt be reinforced by others popping out of the woodwork.

One is sure to be Simon Smith, a character from the East Midlands who, again, was involved to a limited extent in the early nineties, before disappearing. He resurfaced about two years ago, explaining that he had dropped out in despair back then, but could now see that the party was making a serious effort to clean up its act. He claimed to have completed a journalism course and had good desktop publishing skills, so was given the task of producing a simple broadsheet leaflet, *British Worker*, which was to be used in the North East in a local election.

"BONKERS"

He did a decent job on this, but then started to push to be appointed as BNP press officer. Since we already had one in Dr. Phill Edwards, I asked the good Doctor to contact him and see if he could be of use. The answer was simple: "He's bonkers. Try to humour him, but we mustn't let him anywhere near the press."

Smith then produced a series of ideas for leaflets and, when these weren't immediately printed by the hundreds of thousands, started to talk about launching his own party. Knowing that the media would use even a one-man band as a stick with which to beat us, I tried to dissuade him and passed him a few suggestions for improving the best of the leaflets, hoping that we might get something useful out of him and keep him at arm's length but happy.

He promptly sold his 'story' to several newspapers, falsely claiming to have worked as my personal press secretary and to have taken part in an attack on a mosque in Stoke. Both he and Collins are now trying to find a publisher daft enough to take on their largely fictional memoirs. Such claptrap won't sell, but review copies supplied to the likes of the *Express* will form part of the New Labour-organised smear campaign against us in the run-up to June 10th.

It is, of course, a measure of just how the British National Party has

changed that the opposition have to rely so heavily on the testimony of paid liars who were involved in the almost unrecognisably different organisation that it was a dozen years ago. These sad creatures are the slime of BNP prehistory, with nothing relevant to say about the party of today.

Our opponents are also using the same prehistory in a different way. In recent weeks, many 'anti-racists' have received or claim to have received blood-curdling threats from a variety of 'extreme right' groups, ranging from Combat 18 to the absurdly named Aryan Martyrs Brigade.

The story is given legs by referring to the Redwatch website, a juvenile operation which specialises in publishing the photos and personal details of far-left activists. Despite the fact that Redwatch is run by cranks hostile to the BNP, various newspapers have recently claimed that we use it to intimidate our political opponents.

Part of the reason for this is to make the 'thuggery' smear a bit more credible, but there is another, more sinister, game being played here too. Simultaneous with this wave of bogus 'threats' to leftists, was the publication of a book. *No Retreat*, written by two former members of 'Anti-Fascist Action' a now effectively defunct semi-terrorist gang which some years ago used to specialise in attacking heavily outnumbered nationalists with iron bars.

NON-TOO BRIGHT

Although superficially little more than the memoirs of a pair of none-too-bright hooligans, this publication is in fact a training manual in how to operate 'squads' of anti-BNP thugs. The hope is clearly that the know-how in the book, coupled with a warped nostalgia for the 'good old days' will inspire a new generation of leftist street thugs to gear themselves up for vicious attacks on our activities and personnel.

There are, however, three problems that the shadowy figures behind this attempt to revive political violence have to overcome. The first is that far-left numbers are now so small that they may well not have the pool of support from which an

effective direct action gang can emerge or be sustained. The second is that CCTV and DNA testing technology have advanced so massively that there has never been a worse time for a terrorist gang to try to get established.

The third is that it takes two to tango. One of the reasons for the collapse of the first AFA was the British National Party's decision to move on from street confrontation and to get more and more heavily involved in doorstep politics. This policy having very clearly borne fruit, the idea that we might now turn back to slugging it out with unwashed dropouts and sociology students is as unthinkable as it is absurd.

STRATEGY

The fact that we hold 'lightning demos' against such things as anti-white racism in the police force or media, or even against attempts by far-left groups to portray themselves as normal and with popular support, does not conflict with this strategy, for by their very speed such activities avoid or minimise the risk of confrontation.

But to people as desperate as our opponents, such difficulties are worth ignoring. Hence their attempt to panic leftwing activists into thinking that they face a growing physical threat from the BNP, in the hope that they can be stampeded into organising to 'resist' this non-existent 'threat' and going on the offensive against us.

In order to foil this squalid New Labour/*Searchlight* plot, it is essential that everyone understands what today's British National Party actually thinks about the far-left and about the broader issues of freedom and democracy which they would extinguish if they had their way:

1) We are committed to winning power through the ballot box, and thereafter to strengthening democracy with measures such as citizens' initiative referenda binding on government, and guaranteeing access to the media for all political opinions including those of the far-left;

2) Aware from bitter experience that some of our opponents use a variety of illegal methods against us, we are collecting information on

them, in order to be in a position to force the police and the Electoral Commission to act to uphold the law against those who would break it in order to deny us our democratic rights. We are properly registered under the Data Protection Act to gather and hold such material. We have no intention of using this information in any extra-legal way;

3) While there was a time back in the Cold War when far-left activists had to be opposed as the local representatives of a dangerous and aggressive foreign ideology, in politics post-1989 the far-left are utterly irrelevant. In fact, in as far as they oppose the ill effects of globalisation, we have more in common ideologically with the 'old left' than we have with free-trade Conservatives. We regard the continued fixation of various 'nationalist' fringe groups with "the Reds" as just one more symptom of their status as political fossils or state-sponsored provocations;

4) We believe that trade unions are a necessary and legitimate form of industrial organisation, and encourage our members to be good and active trade unionists not to subvert them but in order in due course to give the vast majority of their members a democratic choice when it comes to the political leanings of their elected leadership.

5) While we maintain our right to self-defence if attacked, we would far rather leave such things to the police. We are here for politics, not juvenile confrontation. Over the next few months this means fund-raising for the European campaign, and the door-knocking and local leaflet production and distribution that will win us more council seats in June.

Success = Opportunity + Preparation, so the saying goes. Confrontation does not enter this equation. Hard work does, so let's ignore the coming smears and keep our eyes on the ball.



Nick Cass and family, an image the public can warm to

Activism and Publicity



Activism and the publicity it produces, is the life blood of the BNP. Last month saw huge coverage of our council election campaign in rural Suffolk, national and local hysteria about the leaflets handed to Lord of the Rings cinema audiences, and front-page publicity in various areas about our plans to field record numbers of council candidates in June.

Notable activities included an Instant Response Group demonstration in central London, in which more than thirty patriots opposed a picket of the *Daily Mail* by the National Coalition of Anti-Deportation Campaigns, The Lottery funded freaks complaining about the *Mail's* coverage of asylum, and the BNP team (above),

equipped with leaflets, placards, flags and loud-hailer, enjoyed an excellent reception from passers-by.

Meanwhile Welsh Channel 4 carried an unusually fair 35-minute documentary on Jennifer Griffin, daughter of the BNP Chairman and head of the YBNP Supporters Club (below).



S4C's Welsh-language programme featured the red-white-and-blue, sympathetic members of the public in Oldham, and shocking footage of asylum seekers during the Wrexham riots showing them armed with poles, carving knives and a Samurai sword. None of this material was shown on TV at the time of the trouble, which the media tried to blame on local residents.

BNP - First With The News

Report from Dr Phil Edwards, BNP National Press Officer, on the myth that immigrants 'boost' our economy

Thanks to pressure group Migration Watch UK, the *Daily Mail* and the *Daily Telegraph* appear to have only recently discovered (specifically on January 5th 2004) that the Home Office claim that migrants pay £2.4 billion per annum more in taxes than they consume in benefits is not all it seems. "The Myth of How Migrants 'Boost' our Economy by £2.5 billion a Year" screamed the headline in the *Daily Mail*, with an acknowledgement to the pressure group's latest report from the previous day.

But readers of *Identity* magazine would have seen this precise story covered by me in April 2002 when I exposed the fact that the top 10% of migrants - eg European and American financiers, shipping magnates etc and not including illegal immigrants nor immigrants of second or subsequent generations - pay about half of all income tax, the remaining 90% being a net drain on taxpayers' money. Since that time, when speaking at meetings, I have warned activists to be on guard against this bogus statistic being used by 'anti-racists' as a justification for unlimited migration into the UK. Almost two years on and with Home Secretary David Blunkett saying in a BBC interview that he saw no upper limit to the amount of migration into the UK, we need to be even more vigilant in our campaigning. This episode shows just how much "news" there really is in British newspapers.



Migration Watch UK

Check out their website:

www.migrationwatchuk.org



Feb 2004

Euro Fund Appeal Update

They're terrified! From Number 10 to the Daily Express, from the BBC to Searchlight, our opponents are frantic with worry about the possibility of a massive BNP breakthrough on June 10th. Election dates have been shifted, new voting systems introduced, smear campaigns arranged and disruptive 'sleepers' activated. They're all busy lying, cheating and plotting to stop us, and they all fear that they are going to fail.

As the European, London and local council elections race towards us, the British National Party stands poised for historic victories, a breakthrough of earthquake proportions. Successes which were unthinkable just a few years ago are almost within our grasp. The extra credibility, media and legal clout, money and new recruitment that we need to complete our transformation from political street gang to serious power-winning machine are tantalisingly close. At last, our talk of securing a future for the children of our island home can become political reality instead of a wistful dream.

But we're not there yet. We have the vision, we have the policies, we have the people, we have the growing groundswell of public support - but we still don't have the money. Many thousands of pounds have been raised, and many more thousands pledged, but we're still way short of our £200,000 target.


Even that target is, to be brutally frank, way too low; a figure picked more on the grounds of achievability

than on real need. £200,000 is the bare, pitiful minimum in a contest in which our various opponents will be spending £millions.


And yet, at the present rate, we're not even going to get that. Which would mean that, despite all the potential for a real breakthrough, we'd miss the boat. The big opportunity would pass us by, our opponents would breath a sigh of relief, and press ahead with the final stages of their scheme to break up and destroy the native cultures, traditions and identity of our homeland. With only around twenty years left in which to slam on the brakes and turn things around, missing out on the chance for a huge leap forward this June could, quite literally, prove fatal.

So we're asking you personally - to go the extra mile, to dig even deeper than ever before, to search not just your bank balance but also your conscience, and to give until it hurts. There's no point saying to yourself, "I'll give a really big donation in May", because the money for some 20 million elections addresses, for the deposits and to run the campaign has to be in before then. June is way too late; even May and April are too late. Your party needs your money, now!

Our backs are to the wall, but we've got the chance to send our opponents reeling back under a hail of BNP victory blows from which they can never recover. We in this country have been in this position before, and past generations always came out fighting and won through. What about you?



* For both Euro Elections and the GLA



With only four months left, we're about a quarter of the way to our minimum target for national and regional fund-raising. At this rate, we're going to miss the boat in June!

It's not surprising, since almost all of our branches and groups are also busy campaigning with leaflets and papers which have to be paid for each month, and many of them are also having to put money aside to pay for our biggest ever local council election campaign on the same day as the European elections.

There's no need to panic, because we nationalists have got a long and honourable record of pulling out the stops and achieving the seemingly impossible. Like the British people generally, we're best under pressure and with our backs to the wall.

So to encourage the maximum effort, while there's still time, it's a good time to look in a bit more depth at how the financial arrangements for the big push up to June 10th are working:

Our overall £200,000 target is made up of £96,000 which must be raised on a regional basis to pay for the delivery of an election address to every home. This

includes £4,000 needed for Wales, which is not shown on our regional bar graph although present growth there strongly suggests that we will be standing. The bar graph shows the figures at the end of December, and there have been some very significant improvements in some areas, with Yorkshire in particular now well on their way to reaching their £7,200 target.

Regions with larger numbers of seats obviously need more money to pay for the hundreds of thousands of extra leaflets they'll need to achieve blanket coverage. The reason why we're not printing more up-to-date figures at present is that it's a really big job to keep track of what so many different units have raised so far towards their own regional targets, since their funds are also obviously needed for routine bills and for their own local election leaflets. With our Treasury staff in the middle of the annual audit for the Electoral Commission, the fund figures will have to wait while the money is building up.

The £96,000 needed regionally to pay for some 20 million election addresses must be raised if we are to take advantage of the Post Office Freepost service available to candidates, and to benefit from the biggest ever mass distribution of British nationalist leaflets in the political history of our country. The urgency is made even greater, however, by the fact that the printers have to be paid upfront, and need to start printing many weeks before the general public even know there's a campaign going on.

The £104,000 balance of our overall target is needed centrally to pay the £5,000 deposit in each region, and to cover the costs of essential campaigning tools such as TV broadcasts, a national campaign team, special extra publicity material, security, legal work, media relations, posters, extra initiatives on the Internet, a campaign video/CD and the host of other expenses which must be met if we are to run the largest and most professional campaign in British nationalist history.

Identity urges all our readers to keep on doing everything possible to help their own region meet its target, but we're also asking this month for every single person to dig deep and use the form below (or a photocopy) to rush the largest donation possible towards our central election fund. Let's see the Union Jack portion of our national fund graph shoot up the map next month! Thank you for your generosity it will make a real difference.

Yes! I want to help make a real difference to the BNP's big push for the European Parliamentary elections. Please find enclosed my cheque, payable to 'Election Fund' for:

£10

£25

£1000

£50

£100

Other: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 16, York, YO60 3YH

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less




The New Colonialism And the Destruction of Britain: PART 1

By John Maddox

Last November the Home Secretary, David Blunkett, made his momentous remark that there is 'no obvious upper limit' to immigration and followed this up with the bombshell offer of an amnesty that would allow upwards of 600,000 illegal immigrants to settle permanently in our crowded islands. In this three-part article the author suggests how we should analyse the background to these extraordinary statements and try to see a way forward for the oppressed British people.

The indigenous British peoples have been earmarked for marginalisation by the politically-correct elites which now control the cultural, educational, economic, social and political life of what used to be the British nation, secure in its own identity and within its own borders. How will this be achieved? By a new colonialism enforced by our ruling elite which will equal anything which occurred in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries in its ruthless efficiency. In effect, nothing less than an ethnic cleansing of the indigenous inhabitants of the British Isles is planned by our own leaders all in the cause of the abstract theory of 'multi-culturalism', a theory as intellectually incoherent and potentially as authoritarian as the Marxism-Leninism which bedazzled a significant part of the British intellectual elite in the twentieth century.

This ethnic cleansing is already underway, with the British people predicted to become a minority in our own country some time after the middle of this century and to become a minority in many of its major cities, such as Leicester and Birmingham, within the next fifteen to twenty years. Indeed, the British will be a minority in London *within the next ten years*. Already, the indigenous population is in a



KARL MARX: the sick ideological founder of socialism, communism and multi-culturalism

minority in two London boroughs Newham and Brent. The phenomenon of white flight from such areas is increasing, which, of course, only makes the problem worse. This is a disaster for the

British people because each of the major cities has its hinterland of industry and commerce which coalesce to form the industrial heartland and spine of Britain, stretching from London to the



The changing face of Britain's schools



Midlands and then north west to Manchester and Liverpool, and north east to Sunderland and Newcastle. It is this entire heartland which by mass immigration and the 'asylum seeker' racket, high immigrant birthrates, low indigenous birthrates and a deliberate political and social campaign of denigration and censorship against the indigenous society and its history, culture and religion, being taken from the British people. It will be repopulated by the ethnic minorities who will then fight it out among themselves to establish which racial and/or religious grouping emerges predominant to 'inherit' the legacy expropriated from the British.

WHITE FLIGHT

The remaining indigenous British will either find themselves forced out into suburban or semi-rural areas between the urban centres or will migrate to the northern and western hinterlands of Britain, to Scotland north of Edinburgh and Glasgow (which will also fall to the multi-cultural paradise of our new ethnic masters), to the Borders, country and Wales, and to West Devon and Cornwall. In these areas there are few major centres of population or of commerce and industry. Just as happened to the

Celts and Romano-British when the Anglo-Saxons populated these islands in the fifth and sixth centuries, the British will be confined to poor lands where, under-resourced and under-employed, they can be policed, and the process of transmuting their living culture and history into sanitised and irrelevant 'heritage' completed at the leisure of the new ruling elites in the expropriated heartlands to the south and east.

DOWN TRODDEN

What will this subsisting British remnant look like? Well, what did the Welsh or Cornish look like through the long centuries of the middle ages right up to the nineteenth century? Downtrodden, disenfranchised, even their own language taken from them, unable to rebuild successful societies from the poor soil and lack of commercial resources in the west.

As an example of what is to come, many will have noted the huge increase in white beggars mainly young, often drug-addicted and aimless on the streets of our major cities, certainly of London where I live. I have yet to see a beggar from the ethnic minorities, and it is noticeable that many ethnic minority people sidestep these beggars with disdain. Surely, it is heart-breaking to see so many

youngsters from a once-proud culture now disgracing themselves and ruining their lives, begging for their drugs money from the minorities to which the resources of their country are gradually being transferred.

Before going further, I need to note a major qualification to the argument I hope to make. The accuracy of much of what follows will depend on what happens to, and within, the European Union over the next several years. Will the British government ratify the new EU constitution now being debated (which, apart from many other things, will hand over control of our borders and immigration policy to Brussels)?

DRACONIAN

Will it be rejected by the British people if they are allowed a referendum? If it is accepted or imposed, will the EU be able to inflict its hidden draconian implications on the British or, as a corrupt, soulless, artificial entity with economic underpinnings built on sand, will it crumble as quickly as it tries to assert itself? Personally, I feel that if the EU does go down the authoritarian road it is plainly itching to travel, it will merely speed up the processes and outcomes I will discuss in this essay, probably fatally wounding itself at the same time.

Let me begin with a few observations on the British people in the context of colonialism. In historical terms the British are a quite extraordinary people: inhabitants of a small offshore island tucked off the north west coast of Europe who, due to a combination of economic, social and political circumstances but also their own drive, determination and imagination, navigated and colonised a large part of the globe. We built an empire which, almost uniquely among empires, eventually came to have the well-being of its subject peoples at heart. We developed an entire administrative class who devoted their lives, and often sacrificed them, to the efficient and humane government of the colonies. For example, mass starvation in Africa



was wiped out for the first time in its history. When the imperial phase inevitably came to an end the reins of government, together with sensible political and social structures, were handed over to the indigenous elites, normally without bloodshed or disorder. What those indigenous elites have done with their inheritance is a matter of public record: countries such as India developed a moderate nationalism; countries such as Pakistan collapsed into the Islamic nightmare; and it is best to draw a veil over the multi-cultural perdition of Africa.

This same British people is now under siege from a process of reverse colonialism. When the siege is completed and the spirit and culture of the British people destroyed, who will rule the roost in the old British heartlands? Well, that depends on who wins out among the competing ethnic groupings (anyone who thinks they will actually live together in harmonious appreciation of each other's cultures and religions, as predicted by multi-cultural theory, is a fantasist with little evidence to support this point of view from any of the other multi-cultural areas around the world).

My own prediction is that unless the BNP wins, over the next hundred years the British Isles will fall under the control of an Asian Muslim hegemony (which, after the British themselves, will be the largest minority). This in turn will be riven by in-fighting between

alliances, clans and sects, even as it imposes itself by a combination of political, religious and social pressure on the remaining British minority and the other ethnic minorities particularly the Hindus (Jews will wisely have fled the country *en masse*). In other words, the common experience of the typical Middle Eastern failed Islamacist state will be replicated here.

At which point, let me ask the question which puzzles and infuriates so many people. In the face of all the evidence, why is our ruling elite, and all the other ruling elites in Europe, so committed to multi-culturalism? (Vigorously enforcing it even against their own abstract principles of anti-authoritarianism and non-violence!)

MESSIANIC

The answer lies in the failure of socialism and communism in the twentieth century. Seduced by messianic ideas of attaining a Golden Age of peace, plenty and solidarity based on an idealised working class, the socialist and communist intelligentsia found it impossible to make these ideas work in practice. However, the ogre of Nazi Germany came along at a useful time. With its explicit theories of racial supremacy and the moral degeneracy of certain races which had been built up into a grotesque superstructure on the unexceptional basis of the day to


"Unless the BNP wins, over the next hundred years the British Isles will fall under the control of an Asian Muslim hegemony"

day observations of all sensible men of all races that there are differences between the races, just as between other groupings of men.

The defeat of Germany and the horrors of the Second World War and the concentration camps enabled our elites to convince themselves that fundamentally there was no such thing as race, that 'the human family' was the bedrock of all human organisation and that any position or observation based on race was inimical; that racism, no matter how trivial, was therefore intrinsically evil and that one of the purposes of good government was to build multi-racial societies which embodied these 'truths'.

Now, 'multi-racial' does not, in fact, presuppose 'multi-cultural', and, as a matter of record, in Britain in the 1950s and 60s, the first 'Golden Age' of deliberately-sanctioned mass immigration, the aim was to build a multi-racial 'colour-blind' society in which no one would even notice differences of colour or physiognomy and all would meld into a single social unit. When this was found to be wanting, and because it did not give sufficient scope to our intellectual elite's burgeoning and quite irrational dislike of all things British, the theory of multi-culturalism - the deliberate encouragement given to immigrant groups to maintain their own forms of social organisation, cultural practices and religious beliefs,

KATYN FOREST MASSACRE: Thousands of Poles slaughtered on the alter of Marxist Socialism, the forerunner of multi-culturalism





languages, and to favour these over indigenous beliefs - was developed and imposed as a more efficient way not just of building a new sort of society but of destroying the British nation, its history and way of life.

Hence, the passion and certainty which had been committed to Marxism and socialism were now transferred to the new ideology of multiculturalism. Of course, Britain in the years after the Second World War was neither a multi-racial nor a multi-cultural society, but under the guise of economic necessity in the 1950s and explicitly to fulfil their ideology in the 1960-80s, and again with the 'asylum seeker' scandal since the 1990s, the floodgates were deliberately opened with the consequences we now struggle with today.

INCOHERENCE

But note the paradox of multiculturalism: fundamentally, say the multi-culturalists, there is no such thing as race; but groups have been moved *en masse* into Britain who understand and express themselves through the concept of race and its characteristics, culture, social organisation and religion etc., and are encouraged to do so by the multi-culturalists. Therefore, multi-culturalism is the 'celebration' of *their* groups, *their* cultures, *their* religions. And to make way for this, multiculturalism is the marginalisation and destabilisation of the groupings, culture and religion of the 'host' community - the indigenous British, particularly the English.

The paradox goes further. Multi-culturalists, being committed to the ideology of 'human rights', are usually vocal in such causes as, e.g., a homeland for the Palestinians. But what can the concept of a 'homeland' mean logically except a geographical area in which Palestinians defined by characteristics which differentiate them from all other men (race, for short) are in a majority, claim the right to remain in a majority, and run their affairs

according to *their* group needs rather than those of any other group or minority residing in their land?

And yet precisely the same criteria by which the British, until recently, have run their affairs in the British Isles are denounced by the multi-culturalists as 'racist'. We are told explicitly by the multi-culturalists such as the Commission for Racial Equality that, anyway, the British do not even exist as a race (we have always been 'mongrelised' which is a lie); that Britain has always been multi-cultural (which is a bare-faced lie), that the British have no right to rule themselves and others in the British Isles according to the over-arching interests of the British people, and that such attitudes are fundamentally discriminatory and racist!

Therefore, we are now to understand ourselves in the British Isles as being 'a community of communities'; the indigenous British are to have not one jot of rights more than the immigrant communities, and, indeed, the very definition of 'British' is to reflect merely the fact of residence, even if initially achieved illegally, within a set of administrative boundaries.

If any indigenous Briton objects, he will be deemed a 'racist' and have the full force of our race laws (which, together with other

restrictive legislation, are rapidly assuming the status of 'thought-crime' laws) used against him.

So the paradox of multiculturalism is the apotheosis of *all other races except the British*. It is the giving of preferential rights and treatment to other races in the British Isles solely on the basis that they are not indigenous British.

It is the deliberate advancement, based on anti-British targets and quotas, of significant numbers of other races into positions of responsibility and power such that they will hold sway over the lives and opportunities of the indigenous British. It is the advancement and economic empowering of other cultures and religions specifically on the basis that they are not the culture and religion of the indigenous British.

In short, multi-culturalism is the new colonialism, deliberately targeted at the British people to relieve them of our homeland, our culture, our very *raison d'être*. One might almost say to reduce us to the status of the despised *lumpenproletariat* in Marxist theory, and to transfer our goods to new ethnic, cultural and religious groupings which have no commitment to, knowledge of, or interest in, the history, culture and society they are appropriating.

Continued Next Month...



The police lose control of another British street



Moving the Electoral Goal Posts to Keep Out the BNP

Last month's report "Burnley and the BNP and the Case for Electoral Reform" from the supposedly 'independent' Electoral Reform Society advocates a change in the first-past-the-post system - which Labour and Conservatives have been happy with for more than 70 years - in order to restrict seats being won by the British National Party! This is hard evidence that the old parties will stop at nothing to try and halt the progress of the BNP, including moving the electoral goal posts.

The following comments on the 56-page ERS report are from the *Yorkshire Post*.

"FLAWS in the electoral system could hand seats to the British National Party in West Yorkshire, a report claims today." The Electoral Reform Society warned that the extremist BNP, which has three seats on Calderdale Council, could gain power well beyond what could be justified by its share of the vote. "The society's findings followed a survey of more than 2,000 electors across three wards in Burnley, which was torn apart by race riots in May 2001.

"An Electoral Reform Society spokesman said Halifax was 'one step behind' Burnley, where the BNP could win control of the council in spite of 'minority support'. Press spokesman Alex Foulkes said: "Obviously it has a lot of implications for all other areas where the BNP have won support. Various places, like Calderdale, are one step behind the situation we are seeing in Burnley."

"The society's chief executive Ken Ritchie said: 'Last year the BNP won six seats in the 12 wards it contested in spite of having received little more than a third of the votes in these seats. If people vote the same way this year, the BNP will win a further six seats, and in the following election we could see the BNP holding 100 per cent of the seats in these wards with only a third of the vote'. Eight out of nine of those who voted for the BNP

gave asylum and immigration as their reason for doing so.

"The Electoral Reform Society said there was a strong case for proportional representation via the Single Transferable Vote. At present the votes of many people who oppose racism are wasted on losing candidates, but STV would allow those votes to be transferred to other candidates opposed to extremism. Using STV, the BNP would win only those seats which its electoral strength justifies.

"Many electors who considered themselves supporters of a mainstream party voted BNP in 2003 as a protest against Burnley Council, the study found. The society argued this alienation was also a result of the present first-past-the-post voting system. When one party is able to dominate local politics, when the outcome of elections is often a foregone conclusion, and when the council does not reflect the diversity of the community, then it is understandable that there has been a loss in confidence in the council. "If we were to change to a voting system which better connected voters with their councillors, people would begin to have more respect for the council," said Mr Ritchie. "The BNP now has 18 seats in West Yorkshire, Lancashire, the West Midlands, Hertfordshire and Essex."

...and The Election Rigging Society Gives Its View

The following unpublished report by an ERS working committee has been leaked to the British National Party. Its latter section suggests it should be read with perhaps more than a pinch of salt.

CONFIDENTIAL

"FLAWED ELECTORAL SYSTEM LETS IN BNP" E.R.S. BURNLEY SURVEY MINORITY REPORT

While we agree that the STV (Single Transferable Vote) System proposed in the published Electoral Reform Society report may well hinder the progress of the BNP in the short-term, we believe it is vital that the benefits of a Single TV system in combating the rise of the BNP should not be overstated nor should the potential problems associated with this system be underestimated.

The key to the problem is unpublished research in a number of areas (including Burnley, Oldham, Bradford and Stoke) which indicates that, far from giving their STV preference to another anti-racist candidate, very large



numbers of Labour, Conservative and even Liberal-Democrat voters would in fact allocate their STVs to the British National Party.

In the Stoke Mayoral election campaign, Labour party campaign workers present at the count have privately confirmed that the BNP's second preference vote was "enormous". Only the fact that the Returning Officer refused to count these thousands of anti-democratic votes (and that the inexperienced BNP did not find out that the rules, strictly interpreted, meant that they should have been counted) prevented the disaster of a BNP Mayor being elected in the city.

To assume that ordinary voters, faced with the choice inherent in the STV system, would invariably vote against the BNP is to drastically underestimate the groundswell of support for the party, and popular discontent with the main political parties. Many Conservative voters would never vote Labour, and many traditional Labour voters would not even consider voting for the party of Margaret Thatcher. Among both groups, however, there is significant and growing concern over immigration and asylum issues, and consequent sympathy for the BNP.

Additionally, it should be noted that, under STV, it is highly unlikely that New Labour would ever have formed, or ever again be able to form, a government. While it is important to prevent any further advances by the BNP in local government, this should not be done by setting a precedent which, if adopted in all elections, would operate at the expense of politically acceptable and socially progressive central government.

Accordingly, our Minority Report proposal is to adopt the little known Multiple Transferable Vote (MTV) system. This has the outstanding advantage that it institutionalises progressive positive discrimination policies, bringing them out of the workplace and into the very heart of the democratic process. It does this by replacing the traditional but archaic and essentially middle class, Eurocentric, concept of "One Man, One Vote" with an electoral diversity which both recognises and compensates for past discrimination against selected under-represented groups.

Members of Ethnic Minorities:

1 extra vote!

Registered Sexual Minorities:

2 extra votes!

(including lesbians and transsexuals)

Asylum Seekers:

3 extra votes!

Guardian Readers:

3 extra votes!

(the most socially aware members of society, who suffer a serious lack of influence on account of their small numbers relative to the readers of the right-wing press).

It is clear that this flexible system would ensure the permanent marginalisation not only of the BNP, but of any other backward-looking and undemocratic forces which might otherwise present a challenge to democratic multi-culturalism and the ongoing transformation of 'British' society.

Confidentiality notice:

It is the considered opinion of the authors of this ERS Minority Report that there is a possibility of these proposals being used to provoke unjustified resentment among under-educated 'white' voters. Accordingly, we recommend that this Report should be kept confidential while the old-fashioned and democratically flawed First-Past-the-Post electoral system remains in place.

Thank you for your co-operation in this matter, and your commitment to democratic Electoral Reform in Britain.

Gerry Mander
Chair
Minority Working Party
Election Rigging Society

The True Concept of Being 'European'

By Julie Russell BA Hons

The British National Party is firmly against the undemocratic superstate of the EU and is the only party in Britain that has any chance of pulling us out before it is too late. This does not, however, mean that we are 'anti-European'. Europe is the most wonderful continent on this earth. But the term 'Europe' has become a dirty word for most ordinary patriotic Brits. Our enemies have corrupted the concept of being 'European'. When the government promotes the idea of us being 'European' it is done only to promote the European Union and Britain's further integration, it is certainly not done in an ethnic or cultural sense.

For us 'European' also means 'White'. When we read about the propaganda in colleges and schools trying to force the idea of being 'European' onto our children, this is done wholly to promote the EU and prepare the next generation to be 'citizens' of the dictatorial farce run from Brussels. The promotion of being 'European' is NOT at all done to foster any pride in the achievements of our European forbears who built this continent into the cultural centre of the world. Think of all the beautiful and diverse countries, traditions, languages and customs that we find in Europe. From the shores of the Emerald Isle in the West to the Steppes of Russia in the East, from Iceland and the fjords and mountains of Scandinavia in the North to the tip of Italy's 'boot' in the South. This is the land that for thousands of years has been inhabited by people belonging to many closely related White tribes.

As a linguist, I find it absolutely fascinating to look at the relationship between the many languages spoken in Europe and how almost all of them stem from the same 'proto' (ancestral or primitive) language - commonly referred to as 'Indo-European'. To listen to languages I have never studied and hear similarities with others not only in vocabulary but also syntax (the way sentences are built) and pronunciation, and so be able to understand what they are saying is amazing.

These languages have developed over very many years, changing as the various tribes of Europe moved around and subsequently diverging as they became isolated from one another. The similarities between the Indo-European languages (which also includes the ancient and now dead languages of Latin, ancient Greek and even Sanskrit), while not always immediately obvious, are a living monument to the very close relationship we have with our fellow Europeans. (A subject which really merits an article of its own). I wish I had been able to meet J. R. R. Tolkien who was also fascinated by philology (the science of language) and invented his own language (which I am sure most of us have seen demonstrated marvellously in the

recent LOTR film) based on languages of the Celtic and Germanic branches of the Indo-European language family tree.

From the languages of Europe sprang some of the world's most beautiful poetry and literature. With the ancient knowledge accumulated by our White, European, forefathers, from the philosophers and of Ancient Greece and the Druids of Celtic Britain to the great scientists of more recent centuries, Europe has long been the cultural cradle of the Earth. I couldn't even begin to list all the great people we have produced - painters, musicians, scientists, writers, inventors and warriors.

I am happy to say, in this sense, I am proud to be European.

This is something we must get over to all patriotic Brits out there. The EU has stolen the word 'Europe' and corrupted it and with it corrupted the concept of being 'European'. We do not wish to be called 'Citizens of Europe' instead of British. We are proud of our country and no amount of political bullying can ever make us think of ourselves as just a 'region' of the EU. We will always be English, Irish, Scottish or Welsh, and





British too. But first and foremost we are White Europeans.

The fact that we have so many beautiful cultures in Europe means that we do not want to let the European Union bastardise those cultures or our languages.

The British, I fear, have been quite stirred up by the 'jingoistic', false patriotism put forward by newspapers such as the *Daily Express*. They have taken advantage of the fact that most British people dislike the idea of being ruled by the EU and so have encouraged them to also dislike, even hate, our kindred European neighbours (especially the 'Frogs' and 'Krauts').

While such friendly banter does no real harm taken lightly, we must not look at the other ordinary white people in our neighbouring countries as our enemies - that title goes to the EU commissioners, the Bilderberger types, our politicians and the rest of the traitors on the EU band wagon (including many big businessmen, rich pharmaceutical companies and banks who back the undemocratic EU Superstate for their own ends). Indeed many people in the nations already in the single currency are heartily regretting it and want out.

THE FIGHT FOR SURVIVAL

We are fighting for the survival not only of Britain, but of all the countries where people of European descent have built great nations- Australia, America, Canada and, of course, the rest of Europe too. After all, what would be the point of the BNP getting to power in Britain one day if it was the only White nation left in the world? In many western European countries the indigenous White population is as close as we are to being outnumbered in our own land. America is certainly a warning to us of how we could end up in 20 years time if we do not succeed.

The colour of our skin is what identifies us (although it is a secondary issue to the biological differences between the world's races Editor). On a wider scale, we are in a fight for the survival of people of European descent - everywhere. Therefore it is vital we all work together as much as possible and emphasise our 'Pan-Europeanism'.

With this goal in mind a BNP Overseas Liaison group has been set up to foster friendly relations and contacts with like-minded political parties and groups abroad. Among its aims are:

To put over the BNP's standpoint with a view to

educate and make sure the British situation is clearly understood by our overseas contacts, and in turn, to learn from others who have already achieved more political success than us.

To keep up to date with political events affecting our cousins in the rest of the White world.

To assist, where needed, with the organisation of delegations and meetings abroad for BNP officials and for our foreign contacts to come to our events.

To educate our own people as to the importance of co-operation with 'foreigners'.

To build up political allies for the European Parliament.

This last aim is perhaps more important for the near future. Should we get any of our candidates elected to the European Parliament in June, we will need to be able to form a grouping with other anti-EU MEPs. This would not only give us 'strength in numbers', a sense of camaraderie and an image of 'respectability', but we may also be entitled to extra EU funding if we are a part of an official group.

The BNP already has a number of contacts with like-minded parties around the world and we would like to build on this. One of the things the Overseas BNP has started doing is a "literature exchange" with our foreign contacts (we send out a copy of VoF and ID every month in return for their party publications). Also a newsletter is planned, which will explain certain things that perhaps our foreign friends may not get the full grasp of through reading VoF, i.e. the significance of the electoral successes we have had or a political issue particular to the UK.

We need other linguists to come forward to help with translating when needed, as even though many of the people you come in to contact with abroad do tend to speak good English, we would like to be able to read their publications.

We are already in touch with many like-minded people around the White world, in Europe, America and Australia and would like to build on this.

These include the Front National (French National Front), Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands (German National Democrats), Vlaams Blok (Belgium/Flanders), Lega Nord (the Northern League - Italy/Padania), PNOS (Swiss Nationalists).

If anyone would like to help the BNP Overseas Liaison with translating (preferably degree level or mother tongue) or if you are an overseas reader who can help by giving us reports from your country, please write to:

bnpoverseas@yahoo.co.uk.

**Or: PO Box 2
Carlton
Nottingham
NG4 3EQ**

Ideas and suggestions are all welcome!

NOTE: While we share most of our fundamental ideals with our overseas contacts, we do not necessarily agree with everything they stand for or their ways of campaigning.

John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

Latest Indian Takeaway Menu



Accountancy and other financial jobs as well as those from call centres now feature on the latest Indian takeaway menu covering jobs lost since early December.

The list

includes: Accenture, 1,000 UK jobs lost; American Express, 4,000; Capital One, 1,200; Citibank, 3,000; Dell International, 3,800; ebookers, 2,000; JP Morgan Chase, 32,00; Morgan Stanley, 1,600.

The 'sauce' for this menu comes from the Communication Workers Union.

Freedom of expression

The persecution of Robert Kilroy-Silk and Lib-Dem MP Jenny Tonge brings to mind the modern dictum of the liberal 'intelligentsia': "We will defend to the death your right to agree with us". For both have shown what a hollow sham is the belief that the media can still support freedom of expression.

The second-rate TV show presenter and former Labour MP Kilroy-Silk had a reputation for being fair to ethnic minorities, including asylum seekers, who appeared on his programme. Yet, when he repeated a former article he had written for the *Sunday Express* in which he described several Arab nations as a bunch of "suicide bombers, limb amputators and women oppressors", the BBC - under pressure from the bumptious Trevor Phillips of the CRE - pulled the plug on his ten-year-old show.

Conversely, Lib Dem MP Jenny Tonge was accused

of being in support of "suicide bombers".

She offended our so-called guardians of free speech by saying that life for Palestinians under Israeli rule could be intolerable and made her understand how people could become suicide bombers. "I might just consider becoming one myself", she said, although afterwards she added she was not actually advocating such action. Nevertheless it incurred the wrath of Jew and Gentile alike. Charles Kennedy, Lib-Dem leader, choking on his whisky bottle, said her views were unacceptable and sacked her as a party spokesman. This immediately brought forth the support of Lord Janner of the British-Israeli parliamentary group (who broke off from viewing pictures on certain sites on the internet). An Israeli government spokesman, Danny Seaman, said: "In my eyes, she is more despicable than Hitler."

Kilroy-Silk and Jenny Tonge are no friends of the BNP (thank goodness for that, you probably say), but we believe everyone has a right to freedom of speech, even where those views may appear unpopular.

"Evening all! Here's a leaflet from the Labour Party"

It only recently came to light that uniformed duty community Police Officers delivered Labour Party political leaflets last October in the London Borough of Merton. This was on behalf of Amanda Ramsay, Labour Councillor for a Wimbledon ward. The Metropolitan Police Authority has confirmed that PC Paul Waller, the local community officer, along with a colleague were involved in this incident.

After members of the public complained to the Met inquiries led to the officer being taken off the beat and shoved behind a desk.

Commenting on this, the BNP website (www.bnpp.org.uk) said: "It comes as an astonishing admission from the Marxists who run the New Labour regime but it is true that the Police Force is being used to distribute Labour Party political material!

"This kind of revelation suggests we are heading towards a Mugabe style dictatorship sooner rather than later under Blair's premiership."

"I've been kicked out of better clubs" says ex-Tory Councillor

Long-standing Newquay town and borough Conservative councillor Norman Thompson was last month kicked out of local politics after a government tribunal found him guilty of making racist remarks. A three-year disqualification means he can no longer stand on Newquay town council, which he helped to create over 25 years ago.

Mr Thompson's 'crime' was committed during an Equality Seminar in St Austell on November 7, 2002. During this seminar he is said to have admitted he was a racist, saying preferential treatment was given to immigrants and at one point he asked the seminar trainer whether he had ever thought of moving to a country where his views would be more popular. His remarks were leaked to the local press and then his local Conservative group kicked him out when he failed to make what they called a proper apology. His reply to this was: "I've been kicked out of better clubs." Norman Thompson should join the BNP 'club'. It's becoming quite fashionable nowadays among disillusioned ex-Tories. However, one of our club rules is that we might be called 'racists' by the multiracialists of the old clubs, but we are certainly not 'race haters'. We recognise the values of the world's races and their respective cultures and wish to preserve them, but in their own specific countries and ours is full up!"



Illegal immigrant lands NHS with £440,000 bill

The mounting cost to the British taxpayer of the policy that allows failed asylum seekers to stay in this country was highlighted in two particular cases reported in the press last month.

The hard-up North East Lincolnshire Primary Care Trust has been ordered to pay the £444,000 cost of treating a failed asylum seeker from Iraq who was crippled when the stolen car he drove crashed. Although he entered Britain illegally through the Immingham container port, was later refused permission to stay and have no local connections, the Trust must also cover the £4,000-a-week cost of specialist follow-up care which could continue to mount indefinitely.

The second case, reported in the *Daily Telegraph*, 16.01.04, concerned an Iraqi jailed for plotting to smuggle asylum seekers into Britain and who is to receive compensation because of a legal blunder!

Dawood Hadi was jailed for nine months in October 200 after police smashed a plot that could have allowed thousands of extra illegal immigrants to enter the country.

The Manchester shopkeeper was arrested after detectives seized 3,000 forged holograms intended for use in visas earmarked for Iraqi asylum seekers. Hadi pleaded guilty at Manchester Crown Court but the Court of Appeal quashed the conviction because he had been charged with "an offence not known to the law".

Apparently he should have been charged under the 1971 Immigration Act of conspiring to arrange for the illegal entry of persons into the country.

It gets more like Alice in Wonderland's Mad Hatter's Tea Party every day!

"Health tourists milking the NHS"

I have always had a sneaking regard for Betty Boothroyd as an effective former Speaker of the House of Commons plus the fact that she is about my age and a corker when she was young. Now Lady Boothroyd, she has raised her concerns in the House of Lords over 'NHS health tourists' after visiting a London hospital, where a consultant warned her about the large number of overseas visitors who were obtaining free treatment.. She said:

"There are some soft people in the House of Lords. They have told me that we have a health service that is free at the point of use. But these people from overseas are milking it. It is a scandal and has to be stopped. We are talking about millions of pounds in taxpayers' money."

In reply to the Government spokesman's claim that it would shortly announce a crackdown on such abuses by tightening the rules on eligibility for free treatment, she warned that this was unlikely to succeed because foreigners who were not entitled to free treatment would still be treated and only asked for payment afterwards, by which time many would have returned home.

She was also concerned about evidence that HIV sufferers were coming to Britain to seek treatment, costing the NHS up to £15,000 per person each year.

British Troops Treated With Contempt

David Kay, the American who led the hunt for Iraq's weapons of mass destruction, has stepped down saying he did not believe there were stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons. Furthermore, as head of a 1400 strong survey group which searched for eight months, he said in regard to alleged weapons of mass destruction: "I don't think they existed".

Although the Hutton whitewash tried to exonerate Tony Blair, Kay's comments fully justify the BNP's stand on the attack on Iraq. Unlike the Tories and the Lib-Dems, we have not altered our views since American oil interests and Israel opened the campaign to attack Iraq, a sovereign nation. We recognised that Saddam Hussein was a cruel despot, but he was not a direct threat to any British interests and to attack him was no more in our interests than it was to join in a war against China, North Korea or Iran because we did not approve of their socio-political actions. Above all, the whole of Iraq was not worth the life of one British soldier!

Once the Iraqi conflict started we stood by our troops and gave them our full support (after all, a fair number are BNP supporters). It is now revealed that the Government's support was weak, to say the least, when it came to the equipment they provided our soldiers. This was highlighted over the publicity surrounding the death in action of Sgt Steve Roberts, who was one of many who had to give his flak jacket to other troops. Another soldier lost a leg because vital medical equipment did not reach Iraq in time. One NHS doctor who served in Iraq as a reservist said they had no blood products, plasma and platelets, which are vital in the treatment of patients with heavy loss of blood.

Some soldiers had to order spare parts for their radios over the Internet, which they personally paid for. Several British troops were also forced to use plundered Iraqi guns because of a lack of the oil needed to prevent their SA80 rifles jamming.

By the time this issue is published, Defence Secretary Geoff Hoon, may well have been made the fall guy for all this lack of planning. But it was Tony Blair as PM who, despite leading in the pre-war rhetoric against Iraq, held off making overt preparations for war until the last minute. Quite frankly, the British servicemen and women were just pawns in his game. They were treated with contempt. This will lead to more resignations of NCOs and experienced troops, together with officers such as Col Tim Collins.

Col Collins was the British Iraq war commander who was worshipped by his men but became disillusioned after an investigation into alleged ill treatment of Iraqis during conflict. His wife said: "He's worried it (the Army) is being crippled by political correctness, petty bureaucracy and the refusal of politicians who send British soldiers to war to give them enough money to do their job."



Feb 2004

ASYLUM IN BRITAIN

Readers Letters

Speaker's Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> Cost of Immigration

Millions of pounds have been wasted and continue to be wasted as a consequence of mass immigration. The immigrants have contributed little or nothing to British culture and have in fact established separate cultures, which constitute a colonisation of the British Isles.

These people have betrayed their homelands. Instead of remaining there or returning and contributing to development, they have chosen to live in the main parasitically in the United Kingdom. Few have any intention of being integrated and positive discrimination to try and encourage this only succeeds in denying the natural population of its rights.

Philip Smeeton
Oslo, Norway

>> Cosmetic Restrictions On Asylum Seekers

David (let 'em all in) Blunkett has decided that all Asylum Seekers should only have one leave of appeal after their application to stay is turned down. If the result is the same they will have their benefits withdrawn and their children may have to be taken into care. Thus, hoping they will decide to return to their homeland.

What a load of drivel! The do-gooder, left-wing liberals will not allow the withdrawal of benefits. Blunkett's proposals are purely cosmetic, so the government can be seen to be doing something to get our votes - but not mine! Home Secretary David Blunkett only recently said: "There is no limit to the amount of immigration levels that can be reached." This surely brands him as an idiot.

The public can see what is happening: our proud country is being colonised by the Third World. All opinion polls show that the overwhelming majority of people are concerned about asylum, to say the very least. But those of us who

speak out against the Government's spin (I call it 'bull') are attacked and called racists, risking a jail sentence of up to seven years. This is the reality of Blair's neo-marxist Britain.

Whatever happened the freedom of speech for which our forefathers fought and died in two world wars?

T. Majorowicz
Walsall BNP Activist

>> Train Conductor's Racial Discrimination

Whilst travelling on an Arriva train recently (the 3.34pm from Huddersfield to Leeds, 02/12/03) I suffered racial discrimination at the hands of an Asian conductor. Whilst walking down the train checking some people's tickets and passes etc, whenever the conductor got to a male Asian he said: "Thank you, it's OK brother", without even asking to see a ticket/pass. However, when he asked a white person there wasn't a thank you or even any

acknowledgement given and he made sure he thoroughly checked their tickets.

There were four Asian males in front of me. When he got to them he did not bother to ask them for their tickets either. He just said: "That's fine brothers". He did not even give them time to take their tickets or money from their pockets. At least one of them didn't have a ticket because I heard him say so.

I feel that this kind of racial discrimination is completely out of order and it is unfair to let Asians ride for free and making only white people pay.

Chris
E-mail.

>> The Dragon in the Basement

What is the BNP's position on government borrowing and the national debt? Having looked through your policy statements I cannot see any mention of it. Do you, like me, think that it is unnecessary for a government to borrow from the private sector? It is absurd for a government to borrow from foreign and international banks such as the IMF. Surely, if a government were to own its own central bank, it could borrow from itself and pay back to itself without having to charge any interest?

Chancellors realise that they have no hope of ever finishing the debt (the taxpayer owes the Bank of England money from 1694), so rather than tackle the difficult problem of restructuring the country's financial system they simply run the debt up further and leave it for the next administration to worry about.

As far as the IMF goes I recommend defaulting on any outstanding loans. It is a useless organisation. A BNP government would have no cause to borrow

Adrian Marston,
Mitsen, was
several hours.
Hastings, an area which has few
Asian and Black voters.
Alice Rogers, Labour MP for Hal-
lifax, said: "These people dress in
new smart and new ugly
clothes. They are trying to bring divi-
sion among us." She said:

used to accommodate asylum seekers
on a temporary basis. In Caythorpe Lincolns are
house 300 asylum

in Combe
people with the liver
of the
survivors
Two family members were arrested
yesterday at their Eltham home in
an exclusive road in Edgware

John Y
the raids codenamed
of guns and money.
gero suggests these an
heroin trafficking.
Police say most of th
is linked to Turkish co



from it in the future so there is no point in repaying any previously incurred debts.

Perhaps an article in *Identity* could explain the party's thinking on this interesting and often perplexing subject.

Patrick Cannell
County Durham

>> Degradation of Womanhood

Yet another victory for feminists in the struggle of the "Sex War" against men. According to research by the Institute of Psychiatry, women are now more likely to binge-drink than men!

This is hardly the kind of victory that the militant feminists had in mind when they took up arms against male dominance in the 1970s, but it is exactly the type of phenomena that we should expect in the liberal free-for-all that is behind the Marxist-inspired "Sex War". The rise in uncontrollable female drinking (and men are similarly at risk) is leading to an increase in liver problems, female crime, date rape and sexual diseases. Although women's liberation was a very necessary step, militant feminism has seen the degradation of womanhood as well as the destruction of fatherhood.

Clive Potter
E-mail

>> Our Children

Some years ago I was a member of a party associated with the BNP. I left that party as I grew older and had children. I did not want to be thought of as a 'racist thug' with a one issue theory on the future of Britain. This was partly born from the fact that I had made some very good friends that were not white and this had a huge influence on my voting.

Now, with the same friends in tow, I have pledged my money and vote with the BNP. I no longer feel ashamed to be part of a real party with more to offer than 'rights for whites'. Our young children are

only going to have a future in this country as long as that future is with the BNP.

I urge all like-minded people in my position to be brave, honest and true. But more than anything, stand by your party and your party will stand by you and your family.

Tony Wiltshire
London

>> What We Can Do with A Grand

Today (January 20th) I sent a donation to the BNP, which I hope will help. After all, I send donations (involuntary) to Gordon Brown frequently.

A grand so what? I sometimes feel like just giving up. What is a thousand pounds?

Enough to support a family of Kurds for a fortnight?

I donate frequently and pray that the money sent will some day be returned to our children for their future.

I object to being dubbed a 'racist' for being a member of the only party concerned for the future of our country. I'm more of an armchair activist, but with my patience wearing thin I must give more active help.

Charles Wentworth
E-mail

>> Advice For Trevor Phillips

"There are white children growing up in our country who have no idea what being British actually means." These are the words of Trevor Phillips, the new man at the head of the Commission for Racial Equality (CRE).

What he does not say is that the CRE and the rest of the race industry have reduced us to this state. It is their demands of multiculturalism that have elbowed Shakespeare from the school syllabus, turned the celebration of Christmas into a sin and made being British-born and white a reason for apology.

If Mr Phillips really wants to save Britishness, his most useful

contribution will be to fold up the organisation he now heads and do himself out of his £94,000 a year, four-day week job.

Louisa Beckett-Smith
Halesowen

>> Unions Should Fight for Workers' Jobs

The CBI have stated that up to 26,000 jobs in manufacturing in the West Midlands will be lost as more jobs are exported overseas for cheap labour. Readers of *Identity* will assume that the Trade Union's would fight for their members livelihoods.

The GMB Union in the Autumn issue of their magazine *Centrepoint* have given us the thoughts of the West Midlands Region Secretary, Joe Morgan. He states that "the BNP must be wiped off the map in June 2004". Many hardworking GMB members must now be feeling a little bit let down by their Union and will be more interested in job security than who you can or cannot vote for.

This, I feel, will only help our party in the long term. As the unions attack us it will further strengthen our support as the only political party that gives a damn about the working man/woman.

Albert Barnfield
Stourport

>> Welcome our Police Members

As an OAP with a life-long clean slate I am pleased to learn that we in the BNP are being joined by members of the police force. It could be down to the fact that they have the ability of hindsight and foresight, which makes a good detective. The police can also see that if things are not changed in the way this country is being governed it is going to slide into total anarchy. As far as I am concerned, the more coppers in the party the better for us!

Sam Wright
Irchester

Public Transport in the UK: PART 2

Policies for the Future, by Alan Winder BA

There are other even more radical solutions that the BNP really should be looking at. We all agree that the railways are 'good' because they are 'green'. But are they? An EMU or a DEMU unit (Electrical Multiple Unit or Diesel-Electrical Multiple Unit) puts out about as much pollution as about 140 cars. Between Ashford (Kent) and Hastings there are usually three DEMUs on the line at any one time, quite often more. Outside of rush hour these are fairly empty, quite often entirely empty. It would certainly produce far less pollution if this part of the track was concreted over and turned into another road! And this is before you add in additional factors such as most people drive to the station in the first place and these shorter journeys are more polluting than longer ones.

But of course this argument misses much of the point of public transport. It is, in part at least, a social service. Many people do not have access to a vehicle: the elderly, poor, disabled, young, blind, banned, those whose car has simply died that morning or found that the local neighbourhood yobbo has slashed their tyres.

But is it possible to combine the best of both worlds? I think that it is.


MORE RADICAL SOLUTIONS

The three main types of public transport are trains, busses and the underground. I apologise to tram-lovers, but they are really a kind of bus. Each is a different style of transport; each does different things, some better, some worse. London could not exist without trains. No other system could get the hundreds

of thousands of commuters into London from the surrounding area every day. Regular commuters may not believe it, but it is still the fastest, cheapest and most reliable method of getting into city centres every rush hour. Buses can get into tiny villages and pass isolated farmhouses without massively rebuilding the countryside. They can also travel around estates, run people down to local shops and provide site-seeing tours of cities. An underground network can move huge numbers of people very quickly over relatively short distances on specific routes.

So what would I propose? A massive culling of rail services (I can hear cries of protest already and the scratching of pens as readers ask for my immediate expulsion, but hear me out!) Trains get office staff into cities very effectively. For 99% of people this means arriving at London Bridge by 08:45 each morning and catching a train back home at about 17:30. In between, the trains are almost empty and this (along with late night trains) is when 99% of vandalism to trains is done. Vandalism causes about 25% of all train delays. The only worthwhile services during the day are the inter-city services and goods trains.

I would suggest that a better use of trains would be to run an improved peak-hour service from all main stations into all main commuter destinations from early morning for five hours, say 05:00 to 10:00 and then stop (apart from inter-city routes) and regroup all trains and staff, clean the trains thoroughly, carry out any repairs that are needed, from checking the tracks to emptying the toilets, and start again at about 3:30pm until about 8:00pm. After that an hourly service, fast to main





"So what would I propose? A massive culling of rail services."

stations only, ending about midnight. This would meet the requirements of at least 99% of all train users, allow all stations to be permanently manned, allow all problems to be sorted out and give clean, hygienic, reliable, cheaper and safe trains to all.

This would be combined with a large increase in bus services, timed to meet the trains at the stations, both in city and rural areas, plus a much better village-to-village service in rural areas, provided free to the elderly, disabled and those of school-age. This would more than make up for the loss of local train services which are generally very unreliable anyway. Other schemes, such as improved park-and-ride facilities, secure cycle storage at stations (even local council funded cycle hire: any BNP councillors going to push that one at the local level?), plus many other initiatives suitable for the specific local area, would provide a much improved and used public transport system.

As for the third element, underground travel, this is ideal for getting about in crowded cities. London is the prime example and the underground would be so much better with just a little more funding.

It is an ideal 24 hours a day mode of transport. Once commuters arrive in the town centres it is the perfect way to move them quickly to where they need to be. It also provides the best form of transport to take crowds away from theatres, clubs, football matches - a host of city needs. Every major city should have one. Yes, they are expensive and time-consuming to build but once there they are a source of new revenue almost indefinitely.

VISION

Every age has had its great achievements, from Stonehenge through to the medieval churches and Victorian sewers. What has our age given? The Millennium Dome or the London Eye? Not much for posterity. What would a future BNP government leave? Apart from national salvation and a future for our children, which is intangible and once it has been achieved becomes rather taken for granted, what great plans do we have?

A nationwide building scheme for underground railway systems in each major city, our contribution to the local and national economy, transport and business, would, I feel,

be one fitting testament to the ambition and ability of a future BNP Britain, as well as providing solutions to many of the problems of 21st century city living. With a comparable increase in rural transport provision, and a huge improvement in commuter rail travel, all this could be achieved for less than the cost of re-vamping the near-derelict railway network alone. It also has the great advantages of providing many more jobs, of giving greater flexibility, and be environmentally more sustainable.

I know there are problems with this. I know there are flaws (off-peak worker-commuters, freight train line disruption, inner city tunnel construction, interference with sewage systems). But there are as many solutions. In order to stop this already long-winded article carrying on indefinitely several other transport systems have been ignored or glossed over. Publicly owned taxi services, car-pooling, urban lite transport taxi rail systems, internal flights, coaches, double-decker trains, trams. But just think of it as a first step in a radically new, brighter future for British transport, commuters, staff and industry, working together.


President of
Europe?

**"This man's dream is
Britain's nightmare ..."**

EUROPEAN SUPERSTATE



DONT LET BLAIR DESTROY BRITAIN



SUBSCRIBE TO IDENTITY

Identity is the monthly, full-colour magazine of the British National Party. By subscribing, you will receive the latest articles from the best nationalist writers, as well as the latest party news, developments and initiatives. All it costs is less than 58p per wk!

The following rates are for 6 issues (please tick box as applicable): British Isles £15 Overseas surface mail £16.50

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50

Unsealed air mail Middle East, N & S America £24

Unsealed airmail Australasia, Far East £25

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Postcode: _____

Telephone: _____

Email: _____

All cheques etc should be made payable to British National Party or British Heritage and sent to PO Box 841, Balsham, Cambridge CB1 6WF. If you have any queries, please call us on 0870 7573 267. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk order rates:

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81;
90; £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage — £1.05 for 5, £1.94 for 10, £4.05 for 15 - 20, £4.34 for 25 - 30, £5.19 for 40 - 50